F.I.R. 599—27-28, 360, 344, 331, 86, 311, 395, 475, 24, 325, 315, 346, 161, 374, 220, 423, 156, 228, 510, 477, 518, 396-26-27 M. Narasimhaiah *vs.* Not known, F.I R 448, 365, 563, 500, 352, 484, 404, 470, 573, 412, 85, 179, 411, 539, 584, 592, 314, 359, 487, 339, 371, 342, 400, 533, 546, 576-26-27, C. Mis. 199, C. C. 799, 771, 795, 802, 778,—27-28, C. C. 428 of 26-27, C. C. 798, 724, 621, 461, 620, 617, 618, 143, 619, 309, C. A. 5, 698, 509, C. A. 3, C. Mis. 218, 212, 215, 226, 219, 217, C. C. 507, C. Mis. 227, F. I. R. 544-60—27-28.

Files for Several Years.

C. Mis. 127, 125 193—19-20, 13, 511, 263, 371, 437—18-19, C. C. 296—19-20, 202—281, 369, C. Mis. 381, C. App. 4—18-19, C. C. 11—21-22, 582—19-20, C. Mis. 22—20-21, F. I. R. 2—21-22, 59—20-21, C. C. 94—21-22, 142—691, C. Mis. 169—20-21, 56, 179—21-22, C. Mis. 155-107—13-14, C. C. 281—22-23, C. Mis. 183—21-22, P. R. 236—26-27, 44—25-26, 184—24-25, Maramma *v.* Adeappa, F. I. R. 472, 423, 420, 383, C. C. 264 and 282—21-22, F. I. R. 263, —20-21, 110—21-22, P. R. 147—21-22, F. I. R. 81—21-22, 419—20-21, 399—20-21, 388—20-21, 387—20-21, 362, 248, 243, 93, 90, 79, 73, 61, 56, 42, 400, 39, 25, 2—20-21, 515, 103— 514, 505, C. Mis. 458—19-20, F. I. R. 439—20, 341—20, 99—20, 82—19-20, 72 297, 239, 227, 224, 220, 219, 212, 208, 206, 201, 200—19-20, 171—20, 164—20, 144—19-20, 116, 114, 56, 66, —19-26, C. Mis, 507—16-17, F. I. R. 4—12-13, C. Mis. 506, 505, 504, —16-17, F. I. R. 475, 473, 433, 416, 374, 371, 370, 361, 331, 329, 314, 296, 237, 232, 211, 206, 157, 138, 125, 110, 92, 86, 84, 241, 76, 70, 68, 50—18-19, Peersyed *v.* Khasimalli, F. I. R. 401—19, 169—19, 593—17-18, 589, 565, 560, 555, 446, 366, 331, 294, 282, 253, 247, 246, 244, 231, 230, 229, 227, 218, 221, 204, 166, 154, 152, 124, 114, 113, 111, 172, 195, 99, 97, 66, 52, 26, 8, 5—17-18, F. I. R. 260—16-17, 13—16-17, 207—13-14 106-153—13—14, 328—18-19, 36-128—12-13, 44-121-09-10, 29-16-17, 63—16-17, 65, 70, 6, 62, 299, 319, 551, 59, 100—16-17, 582. 17-18, 569—19-20, 572, 566, 555, 532, 519, 529, —19-20, 74-246—10-11, 96-143—09-10, 365 of 07-08, C. Mis. 37—27-28 34, 7, 13, 21, 23, 2—27-28, F. I. R. 41—27-28, 98—26-27, C. Mis. 65—27-28, 106—27-28, F. I. R. 554—26-27, C. Mis. 39—27-28, 10, 40, 94, 74, 64, 48, 70, 41, 9, 8, 31, 51, 69, 50, 30, 33, 16, 29, 22, 14—27-28, C. C. 413—22-23, 413—21-22, No. 9, 5, 13, 182—09-10, D. 15—09-10, No. 151—09-10, 145—09-10, D. 145—09, D 14—19-10, No. 54, 88, 101—09-10, No. 55 12, 175, 117—09, F. I. R. 343—09-10, No. 347-296, 312, 258, 250, 42, 291, 337—09-10, No. 305, 304—1910, F. I. R. 61—209—1910, No. 211—10, F. I. R. 287—10-11, No. 273—10, F. I. R. 345—1910, 268, 236, 536, 517,—12-13, D. 36—12-13 F. I. R. 53, 33, 86, 106—13-14, D. 41—14-15, F. I. R. 357, 55, 92, 323,—16-17, 322—17-18, 132, 86, 355, 111—16-17, C. Mis 337—15-16, 310—15-16, 68, 28—14-15, 324—15-16, 519—16-17, 185—16-17, 453—17-18, 66—22-23, City Municipality *v.* Nanjamma, City Police *v.* Narasimha, Nazuruddeen Alli Khan, 94—26-27, F. I. R. 45—1925, F. I. R. 351—22-23, 153—22-23, 82—21-22, 206—22, F. I. R. 230—21-22, 121—21, 18—20-21, Sheik Buddan Sab *vs.* Abdul Gaffoor, F.I. R. 407—21, 429—20, 85—21, 53—16-17, 9—22-23, C. Mis. 229—18-19, F. I. R 250—25-26, C. Mis. 49—25, F. I. R. 187—19-20, 175—19-20, 312—17-18, 143—16-17, 67—16-17, 69—16-17, 359—16-17, 293—16-17, 293—16-17, 73—16-17, 315—16-17, 300—16-17, 80—16-17, 52—16-17, 72—16-17, P. R. 162—26-27, 97—26-27, 98—26-27, 243—25-26, 74—25-26, F. I. R. 290—19-20, 496—19-20, 68—16-17, 77—20-21, 51—16-17, 65—16-17, 118—16-17, C. Mis. 178—27-28, 97—27-28, P. R. 121—26-27, 104—26-27, 116—26-27, 112—26-27, 114—26-27, 115—26-27, F. I. R. 98—16-17, C. Mis. 42—27-28, 164, 150, 44—27-28, City Police *vs.* Syed Hyder, P. R. 86—27, 219—26,27, 217—26-27, 205—26-27, 223-26-27, 163—25-26, 223—25-26, P.R. 111—26-27, 224—26-27, 96—26-27, City Police *vs.* Munisamy, 684—18-19, 961—17-18, 374—13-14, Police *vs.* Not known, P. R. 48—26-27, 76, 46, 58, 40, 53, 84, 34, 33, 486, 57, 124—26-27, 108—24-25, C. Mis. 456—19-20, 332-638—03-04, C. Mis. 309—19-20, P. R. 203—18-19, C. Mis. 458—17-18, 162, 128, 137, 135, 136, 138, 125, 349, 351, 370,—17-18, 157, 91, 95—26-27, 135, 161, 93, 92—26-27, 146, 158, 232, 463, 288, 34, 176, 151, 184—16-17, 349—15-16, Govt. *v.* Not known, C. Mis. 469—12-13, 209—12-13, 138—03-04, F. I. R. 198—12-13, 54, 35—16-17, Punganoor Police, *v.* Kodadasari, F. I. R. 109—18-19, 114—18-19, 266—19-20, 248—19-20, 103—21-22, 52—21-22, R. C. 223—24-25, 357—670 of 03-04, F. I. R. 176—21-22, 37, 243—24-25, Basamma *v.* Nagoji, 388—03-04, 196—377, 489—03-04, 401-370—03-04, 652—03-04, 625—03-04, 672—03-04, 134—26-27, F. I. R. 23—19-20, 465—04, 644—03-04, F. I. R. 199—13-14, 257—446 of 1904, 349—656 of 03-04, 394—03-04, 395—03-04, 223—443 of 03-04, 176—328, 344—657 of 03-04, 52—16-17, 145—269 of 03-04, 247—485 of 03-04, 404—03-04, 220—442 of 03-04, 355—669 of 03-04, 397—03-04, 389—03 04, 350—03-04, 369, 665, 633, 250—487, 361—678, 215—402, 443, 189—349 of 03-04, 443—19-20, 189—349 of 03-04, 190—16-17, 789—18-19, F. I. R. 297—24-25.

K. V. ANANTARAMAN,
District Magistrate.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF MYSORE AT BANGALORE.

CIVIL SIDE.

Rule of Practice No. 162, *dated the* 13*th January* 1932.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 120 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1911, and with the approval of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, the High Court is pleased to issue the following rules for regulating the practice of the Civil Courts relating to the conduct of suits and other proceedings in which parties appear by recognized agents :—

Party appearing by Agent.—(1) When a party appears by an agent, other than a pleader or advocate, the agent shall, before making or doing any appearance, application, or act, in or to the court, file in court the power of attorney, or written authority, thereunto authorising him, or a properly authenticated copy thereof, or, in the case of an agent carrying on a trade or business on behalf of a party, without a written authority, an affidavit stating the residence of his principal, the trade or business carried on by the agent on his behalf, and the connection of the same with the subject matter of the suit, and that no other agent is expressly authorised to make or do such appearance, application, or act.

(2) The Judge may thereupon record in writing that the agent is permitted to appear and act on behalf of the party; and, unless and until the said permission is granted, no appearance, application, or act, of the agent shall be recognized by the Court.

Signing or verification by Agent —(3) If any proceeding, which, under any provision of law or these rules, is required to be signed or verified by a party, is signed or verified by any person on his behalf, a written authority in this behalf signed by the party shall be filed in Court, together with an affidavit verifying the signature of the party, and stating the reason of his inability to sign or verify the proceeding, and proving the means of knowledge of the facts set out in the proceeding of the person signing or verifying the same.

N. B. SRINIVASIENGAR,
Deputy Registrar,
doing duty as Registrar.

IN THE COURT OF THE SUBORDINATE JUDGE AT BANGALORE.

Insolvency Application No. 163 *of* 1930-31

P. Sampangi Naidu, son of Parthasarathi Naidu, 108 Balepet, Bangalore City ... ... *Petitioner.*

vs.

(1) T. S. Rangaswami Iyengar, Military Store-Keeper, Hebbal, Bangalore and three others ... ... *Opponents.*

Pursuant to a petition, dated 25th May 1931, against petitioner and on the application of P. Sampangi Naidu, Bangalore City, and on reading the application and hearing on 5th February 1932, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 8th day of February 1932.

Creditors to prove their debts by 20th May 1932.

Insolvency Application No. 44 *of* 1931-32.

Burhanuddin Mohamad Hayath, son of Burhanuddin Lalamiyan, Mutton Butcher, Gangamacheri, Bangalore City ... ... *Petitioner.*

vs.

(1) Bansilal Sait, Banker, Jutka Stand, Bangalore City, and 4 others *Opponents.*

Pursuant to a petition, dated 28th August 1931, against petitioner and on the application of Burhanuddin Mohamad Hayath, and on reading the application and hearing on 5th February 1932, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 8th day of February 1932.

Creditors to prove their debts by 20th May 1932

Insolvency Application No. 135 *of* 1930-31.

John Hunger, son of F. Hunger, Tube Mill Foreman, Oorgaum, Kolar Gold Fields ... ... *Petitioner.*

vs.

N. Multan Mull, Sowcar, Andersonpet, Kolar Gold Fields and six others *Opponents.*

Pursuant to a petition, dated 24th February 1931, against petitioner, and on the application of John Hunger, Tube Foreman, Ooragum, and on reading the said application and hearing on 5th February 1932, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 8th day of February 1932.

Creditors to prove their debts by 20th May 1932.

Insolvency Application No. 138 *of* 1930-31.

R. Ethirajalu Naidu, son of Ramaswami Naidu, Door No. 60, Chowdeswari Temple Lane, Gowdanapet, Bangalore City .. *Petitioner.*

vs.

(1) Raju Naidu, son of Munisami Naidu, Chowdeswari Temple Lane, Gowdanapet, Bangalore City and 12 others .. .. *Opponents.*

Pursuant to a petition, dated 2nd March 1931, against petitioner and on the application of R. Ethirajalu Naidu, Bangalore City, and on reading the said application and hearing on 5th February 1932, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 8th day of February 1931.

Creditors to prove their debts by 20th May 1932,

Insolvency Application No. 101 *of* 1928-29.

S. S. Hoover, son of G. W. Hoover, Clerk, Bangalore Woollen Cotton and Silk Mills & Co., Ltd., Bangalore City ... ... *Petitioner.*

vs.

(1) K. Lakshminarayana Rao, 3rd Main Road, Chamarajapet, Bangalore City, and 5 others ... ... *Opponents.*

On the application of petitioner S. S. Hoover, Clerk, Bangalore Woollen, Cotton & Silk Mills & Co., Ltd., Bangalore, residing at Buttappa's Block, 1st Main Road, Chamarajpet and on reading the said application and hearing on 5th February 1932, it is ordered that the order of adjudication dated 19th September 1930, against petitioner S. S. Hoover, of Bangalore be and the same is hereby annulled.

H. K. VEERABASAVIAH,
Subordinate Judge.